

# MIAMI BEACH

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: September 11, 2025

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP  
Planning Director



SUBJECT: DRB25-1101  
**1140 Alton Road**

An application has been filed requesting design review approval for modifications to the façade of an existing religious institution. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to re-cladding the north and east facades with new materials, including one or more waivers.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval with conditions.

### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

Lots 3, 4 and 5, in Block 83 of the Third Commercial Subdivision of the Alton Beach Realty Company, according to the Plat Thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 7, at Page 160, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

### **SITE DATA:**

Zoning:	CD-1 (Commercial, Low Density)
Land Use:	Religious
Future Land Use:	CD-1 (Commercial, Low Density)
Lot Size	24,750 S.F.
CMB Grade:	3.92' NGVD
Base Flood Elevation:	8.0' NGVD
Approved Use:	Religious Institution

### **EXISTING PROPERTY:**

Year:	1973	East:	Flamingo Park athletic field
Architect:	Unknown	North:	Two-story commercial building
Vacant:	No	South:	Surface parking lot
		West:	Four-story residential and parking building

### **THE PROJECT**

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Lubavitch 770 Facade", as designed by **Schapiro Associates**, signed, sealed, and dated May 2, 2025. The applicant is requesting Design Review Approval for modifications to the building's street facing facades, specifically re-cladding the east and north elevations.

### **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code (LDRs):

Section 7.5.1.5.a.b.4 – Roof Materials

Asphalt shingles are prohibited and there is a no variance provision from this requirement. The proposed asphalt shingles will need to be replaced with a different roof material.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

**CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **religious use is consistent** with the **CD-1** (Commercial, Low Intensity) designation of the Future Land Use Map of the 2025 Comprehensive Plan, as it is 'Other use', which may be permitted are accessory uses specifically authorized in this land use category, as described in the Land Development Regulations, which are required to be subordinate to the main use; and conditional uses specifically authorized in this land use category, as described in the Land Development Regulations, which are required to go through a public hearing process as prescribed in the Land Development Regulations of the Code of the City of Miami Beach.

**COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA, SECTION 2.5.3.1:**

Design review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearance, safety, and function of any new or existing structure and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. The design review board and the planning department shall review plans based upon the below stated criteria, criteria listed in neighborhood plans, if applicable, and applicable design guidelines. Recommendations of the planning department may include, but not be limited to, comments from the building department and the public works department.

- a. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.  
**Satisfied**
- b. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.  
**Satisfied.**
- c. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.  
**Satisfied.**
- d. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of exterior building surfaces and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 2.5.3.2.  
**Not Satisfied. The applicant is proposing asphalt shingle roof, which is prohibited by the Resiliency code.**

- e. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing buildings and structures are in conformity with the standards of this article and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the design review board and historic preservation board and all pertinent master plans.  
**Satisfied.**
  
- f. The proposed structure, or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.  
**Partially Satisfied; the applicant is renovating the northeast corner façade of the building in an architectural style, Gothic Revival, that is dissimilar to the modern style of its north and east street facing facades, as well as the overall style of the adjacent neighborhood. However, the remainder of the structure is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.**
  
- g. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.  
**Satisfied.**
  
- h. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safety and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the site.  
**Satisfied.**
  
- i. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.  
**Not Satisfied; a lighting plan has not been submitted for building permit.**
  
- j. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.  
**Satisfied.**
  
- k. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.  
**Satisfied.**
  
- l. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

**Satisfied.**

- m. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

**Not Applicable.**

- n. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

**Satisfied.**

- o. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

**Not applicable.**

- p. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

**Not Applicable.**

- q. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

**Not Applicable.**

- r. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection 118-104-6(t) of the General Ordinances shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights- of-way.

**Not Applicable.**

- s. The structure and site comply with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

**Not Satisfied; see below.**

**COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA**

Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders.

The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

1. A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

**To be satisfied at time of building permit.**

2. Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.

**Satisfied**

3. Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.  
**Not Satisfied; applicant has not specified if new window will be operable.**
4. Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations.  
**Not Applicable**
5. The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.  
**Not Applicable**
6. The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.  
**Not Applicable**
7. In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.  
**Not Applicable**
8. Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.  
**Not Applicable**
9. When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.  
**Not Applicable**
10. In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.  
**Not Applicable**
11. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.  
**Not Applicable**
12. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.  
**Not Satisfied**

**ANALYSIS:**  
**DESIGN REVIEW**

The applicant is proposing modifications to an existing three-story religious institution located at

the northwest corner of Alton Road and 12<sup>th</sup> Street. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to renovate the north and east facades of the Lubovich Educational Center in South Beach.

The existing religious structure has street facing elevations along Alton Road and 12<sup>th</sup> Street, and a chamfered corner façade facing the northeast corner of the intersecting streets at 12<sup>th</sup> and Alton, which serves as the main entrance to the institution. The long street facing elevations are currently composed of a modern style, with flat roofs and narrow vertical bands of windows alternating with pebble dash stucco walls. On the street facing elevations the applicant is proposing to introduce a smooth finish stucco, while maintaining the existing score lines.

Conversely, for the corner elevation, the applicant is proposing a more elaborate approach by introducing a significant modification at the chamfered façade of the subject site that replicates the World Headquarters of the Chabad-Lubavitch Hasidic movement in Brooklyn, New York, located at 770 Eastern Parkway and commonly referred to as “770”. Built in 1920, the original 770 building in New York is a three-story red brick structure designed by Edwin Kline in the Gothic Revival Style, featuring a three-gabled façade with a central bay window. Considered an important religious site, the architectural language and features of the 770 building have been replicated at many Chabad Houses around the world.

The applicant is proposing to remove the existing central three-story glass and bronze design at the corner and replace it with block walls. Along the entirety of this facade, the three-gabled, brick elevation of the 770 structure will be replicated, inclusive of the central bay window, large windows and door openings and a proposed ornamental shingle roof flanked by decorative chimneys and flutes. In addition to red brick cladding, the elevation is detailed throughout with precast stone details that include moldings around windows and doors, pinnacles and finials between the gables, and medallions and string courses ornamentation on the façade.

Based upon the significant history of the Chabad houses around the world, staff is supportive of the proposed redesign of the existing building. The only change that will be required is the proposed use of asphalt shingles on the roof. In this regard, and as noted in the zoning analysis, asphalt shingles are not permitted under the LDRs. As such, the applicant will need to identify an alternative roof material for the structure, as part of the building permit process.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review and Sea Level Rise criteria, as applicable.

**DESIGN REVIEW BOARD**  
**City of Miami Beach, Florida**

MEETING DATE: September 11, 2025

PROPERTY/FOLIO: **1140 Alton Road 02-3235-025-0170**

FILE NO: DRB25-1101

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting design review approval for modifications to the façade of an existing religious institution. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to re-cladding the north and east facades with new materials, including one or more waivers.

LEGAL: Lots 3, 4 and 5, in Block 83 of the Third Commercial Subdivision of the Alton Beach Realty Company, according to the Plat Thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 7, at Page 160, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

APPLICANTS: Chabad of Mid Miami Beach Inc

**ORDER**

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

**I. Design Review**

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2.1.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria d, f, i, and s in Section 2.5.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 1, 3 and 12 in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations.
- D. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements 2.5.3.1 and/ or Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings for modifications to the existing religious building at 1140 Alton Road shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

- a. The final color, material and design details of the brick cladding proposed on the northeast corner elevation, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- b. The final design and details of the new ornamental roof, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. The final design and details of the decorative chimney and flues, including the chimney and flute, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- d. The proposed asphalt shingles are prohibited on the new ornamental roof and on the bay window roof. The final material selection, details and color of the roofing material, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- e. The final stucco color of the existing north and east elevations shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- f. The final details of the pre-cast stone window moldings shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- g. The final design and details of the pre-cast stone moldings proposed around the windows, doors and bay window shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- h. The final design and details of the new decorative pre-cast stone finials and pinnacles on the gabled roofs shall be submitted in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- i. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
- j. URBAN HEAT ISLAND ORDINANCE Section 7.5.3.2(g)(iv) Driveways and parking areas that are open to the sky within any required yard shall be composed of porous pavement or shall have a high albedo surface consisting of a durable material or sealant, as defined in Section 1.2.1 of this Code, and (v) Driveways and parking areas composed of asphalt that

does not have a high albedo surface, as defined in Section 1.2.1, shall be prohibited.

- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

**In accordance with section 2.2.4.8 of the Land Development Regulations, the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal a decision of the design review board for design review approval only to the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.**

## **II. Variance(s)**

- A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

**The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.**

## **III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.**

- A. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.
- B. All allowable construction signage shall be in accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. The building and parking departments shall approve a construction parking plan prior to the issuance of any building permit, including applicable demolition permits for the project.
- D. The applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
- E. The contractor(s) shall ensure that the street and the alley directly abutting the construction site remains free of debris and refuse at all times; at a minimum, the contractor(s) shall inspect and clear the street and alley areas before leaving at the end of each day.
- F. This order shall be enforced by the Building, Planning, Parking and Code Compliance Departments.

- G. A recycling/salvage plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
- H. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- I. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- J. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- K. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- L. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- M. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the revised plans, entitled "Lubavitch 770 Façade", as designed by **Schapiro Associates**, signed, sealed, and dated July 13, 2025, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting

date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Section 2.2.4.6 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD  
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Rogelio A. Madan, AICP  
Development & Resiliency Officer  
For the Chair

STATE OF FLORIDA            )  
  )SS  
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE    )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_ by Rogelio A. Madan, AICP, Development & Resiliency Officer of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.

Notary:  
Print Name  
Notary Public, State of Florida  
My Commission Expires:  
Commission Number:

{NOTARIAL SEAL}

Approved As To Form:

City Attorney's Office: \_\_\_\_\_ ( )

Filed with the Clerk of the  
Design Review Board on \_\_\_\_\_ ( )