

MIAMI BEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: May 15, 2025

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director



SUBJECT: **DRB25-1082 (f.k.a. DRB22-0749) - 5980 North Bay Rd**

An application has been filed requesting modifications to a previously approved Design Review Approval for modifications to an existing residence and the construction of a new pool and cabana in the rear yard. Specifically, the applicant is requesting Design Review approval for a two-story addition to the existing residence ~~including a variance for the required yard open space for two-story elevations~~, including one or more waivers.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the design and requested waiver.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot 21 & Beg at SW Cor Lot 20 N 47.1 FT NW 281.92 FT S 60 FT S ELY 281.92 FT, of La Gorce Golf Subdivision, according to the Plot thereof, as recorded in Plot Book 14, at Page 43, of the Public Records of Miami—Dade County, Florida

RECOMMENDATION

On May 3, 2022, an application for an addition to an existing residence was reviewed and approved by the Design Review Board, pursuant to DRB22-0749.

SITE DATA:

Zoning:	RS-2
Future Land Use:	RS
Lot Size:	42,742 SF
Lot Coverage:	
Existing:	8,656 SF / 20%
Proposed:	10,113 SF / 27%
Maximum:	12,822.5 SF / 30%
Unit size:	
Existing:	11,657 SF / 27%
Proposed:	15,575 SF / 36.45%
Maximum:	21,371 SF / 50%
Height:	
Proposed:	26'-4" flat roof
Existing:	~25' sloped roof
Maximum:	28'-0" flat roof / 31'-0" sloped roof

Grade:	+3.75' NGVD
Base Flood Elevation:	+8.00' NGVD
Adjusted Grade:	+5.875' NGVD

EXISTING PROPERTY:

Year:	1940 / 2015
Architect:	Weed & Reeder (original)
Vacant:	No
Demolition:	Partial

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:

East:	Two-story 1937 home
North:	Biscayne Bay
South:	Two-story 1946 home
West:	Two-story 1941 home

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "5980 N Bay Road Renovations," as designed by **CFZ Design**, signed, sealed, and dated February 6, 2025.

The applicant is proposing modifications to a previously approved application (DRB22-0749), which included a new second level terrace, an upper roof deck and the construction of a new pool and cabana in the rear yard. Additionally, the following variances were approved at the May 3, 2022 meeting:

1. A variance from **Section 142-106(a)(3)** to allow forty-nine percent (49%) of the rear yard as open space, when seventy (70%) is required.
2. A variance from **Section 142-106(b)(1)(d)(1)** to allow a rear setback of 15'-3" for an accessory structure, when 22' – 11" is required.
3. A variance from **Section 142-105(b)(6)** to allow a roof deck along a side exterior wall with no setback, when a 10' setback is required.
4. A variance of from **Section 142-106(a)2.c.** to allow a second floor addition to follow the existing non-conforming side setback of 13'-3" when 14'-5" (10% of the lot width is required.)

The applicant is now proposing additional modifications for the construction of a two-story wing addition to the residence, including a request for the following waiver:

1. A two-story side (west) elevation in excess of 60'-0" in length in accordance with Section 7.2.2.3(b)(ii)(a).

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the City Code:

- Section 7.2.2.3(b)(ii)(a). Two-story side elevations located parallel to a side property line shall not exceed 50 percent of the lot depth, or 60 feet, whichever is less, without incorporating additional open space, in excess of the minimum required side yard, directly adjacent to the required side yard:
 - a. The additional open space shall be regular in shape, open to the sky from grade, and at least eight feet in depth, measured perpendicular from the minimum required side setback line.
 - b. The square footage of the additional open space shall not be less than one percent of the lot area.
 - c. The elevation (height) of the open space provided shall not exceed the maximum permitted elevation height of the required side yard, and
 - d. At least 50 percent of the required interior open space area shall be sodded or landscaped with pervious open space.

The intent of this regulation shall be to break up long expanses of uninterrupted two-story volume at or near the required side yard setback line and exception from the minimum requirements of this provision may be granted only through design review

board approval in accordance with the applicable design review criteria.

- Section 7.2.2.3(b)(ii)(b). For two-story homes with an overall lot coverage of 25 percent (25%) or greater, 35% of the second story facing the street shall be setback an additional 5'-0".

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

1. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
Satisfied
2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Satisfied.
3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Satisfied.
4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.
Satisfied
5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.
Satisfied
6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.
Satisfied
7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection,

relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Satisfied

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Satisfied; a lighting plan has not been submitted.

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

Satisfied

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Not Applicable

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Not Applicable

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Not Applicable

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.
Not Applicable
17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
Not Applicable
18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the city Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.
Not Applicable
19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.
Not Satisfied; see below

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Satisfied
A recycling plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit to the building department.
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Satisfied
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Satisfied
- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.
Satisfied
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
Satisfied

- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

Satisfied

- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

Satisfied

- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

Not Satisfied

- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Satisfied

- (10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

Not applicable

- (11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Satisfied

- (12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Satisfied

STAFF ANALYSIS: **DESIGN REVIEW**

The applicant is proposing to construct a new two-story addition to the existing home within its north side yard, which includes one design waiver. This home underwent major renovations in 2015, including the expansion of the second floor and modifications to the exterior elevations of the home. On May 3, 2022, the Design Review Board reviewed and approved additional modifications, including variances, which included the demolition and reconstruction of the entire rear yard with a new pool deck, pool and single-story cabana; as well as a new two-tiered roof deck atop of the existing home in its northwest corner.

The subject parcel is nearly 1 acre in size and the homes is well under the maximum lot coverage and unit size allowed. The main home is greatly setback from North Bay Road, with most of the home located in the rear half of the lot. The front of the site consists of a tennis court, pavilion, and single-story garage structure. The lot is also exceptionally deep at over 280 feet, with the garage structure, which is currently under construction, set back approximately 106'-9" from the front property line. The home does have an existing non-conforming rear setback of approximately 36'-6" at its closest point, where the required rear setback is 45'-10" (15% of the lot depth), and non-conforming side setback along the north

property line with a sliver of the existing home encroaching 2'-1". As proposed, the addition fully complies with the setback requirements of the code.

Located along the north interior property line, the ground floor of the two-story addition is attached to the rear of the existing house and located 9'-7" from the existing garage. On the second floor the addition spans easterly, straddling a portion of the existing home, which lies just behind the previously approved spiral stair accessing the roof deck, and the garage structure. The new addition features new ensuite bedrooms and lounge areas within both the first and second floors, with a terrace overlooking the side yard. The architecture of the addition continues the transitional design of the existing home with silver standing seam sloped roofs, white stucco walls, glass railings and black metal framed fenestration.

This application includes one design waiver relating to the open space requirements for two-story elevations that exceed 60'-0" in length. The north side façade has a two-story elevation that is approximately 111' long and the city code includes open space requirements to break up long expanses of side elevations. In this design, the architect incorporates open space along the elevation, as the majority of it is set back more the minimum required setback and per the code endeavors to provide a regularly shaped open space that is open to sky from grade and at least 8' from the required setback. However, with an open space that is 136.09 square feet in area and that is filled with landscape pavers, it does not meet the requirements of the code that specifies such an open space to be at least 1% of the lot area, or 427.4 square feet, and that at least 50% of the area be sodded or of landscaped with pervious open space. Nevertheless, the subject elevation is only about 40% of the lot depth of 280'. Furthermore, the design of the elevation provides a two-story open space notch, and a second-floor terrace successfully breaks up the massing and mitigates any negative impacts it may have on its immediate neighbors. As such, staff finds that the design of the two-story elevation meets the intent of the code and recommends the approval of the requested waiver.

The design of the addition, along with its massing, seamlessly integrates to the existing home. As such staff does not have any design concerns with the applicant's proposal and recommends the approval of this application as proposed.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends **approval** of the design and variance requests, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria, Sea Level Rise criteria and Practical Difficulty and Hardship criteria.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: May 15, 2025

PROPERTY/FOLIO: **5980 North Bay Rd** 02-3215-003-0190

FILE NO: DRB25-1082, a.k.a. DRB22-0794

LEGAL: Lot 21 & Beg at SW Cor Lot 20 N 47.1 FT NW 281.92 FT S 60 FT S ELY 281.92 FT, of La Gorce Golf Subdivision, according to the Plot thereof, as recorded in Plot Book 14, at Page 43, of the Public Records of Miami Dade County, Florida

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting modifications to a previously approved Design Review Approval for modifications to an existing residence and the construction of a new pool and cabana in the rear yard. Specifically, the applicant is requesting Design Review approval for a two-story addition to the existing residence including a variance for the required yard open space for two story elevations, including one or more waivers.

APPLICANT: Marco V. Masotti, as Trustee of the North Bay Road-H Trust and The North Bay Road-W Trust

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2.1.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria i. and s. in Section 2.5.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations.
- D. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 1 in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations.

- E. All of the original conditions of approval by this Board, as reflected in the Final Order dated May 3, 2022, pursuant to DRB22-0749, shall remain in effect except as modified and approved herein.
- F. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements 2.5.3.1 and/ or Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) if the following conditions are met:
1. Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The two-story elevation side (north) open space **shall be** waived as proposed.
 - b. The final design details of the exterior materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
 - d. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding plans shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plans shall comply with Chapter 26-Landscape Requirements of the Miami Beach Code and shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The plans shall comply with the line-of-sight visibility triangle requirements, subject to the review and approval of the Public Works Department.
 - b. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
 - c. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site.
 - d. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff. A tree care and watering plan also prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building

Permit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permit. Subsequent to any approved relocation, a monthly report prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be provided to staff describing the overall tree performance and adjustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure survivability, such report shall continue for a period of 18 months unless determined otherwise by staff.

- e. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- f. The proposed and existing trees located within the swale shall be subject to the review and approval of Green Space and CIP.
- g. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in conflict with existing utilities, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department. Any new street trees shall be of a consistent canopy tree species as similar to the neighboring trees along the street.
- h. Any existing plant material within the public right-of-way may be required to be removed, as the discretion of the Public Works Department.
- i. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- j. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with section 2.2.4.8 of the Land Development Regulations, the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal a decision of the design review board for design review approval only to the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

I. Variance(s)

- A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

II. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.
- B. During construction work, the applicant shall maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard and including the swale (subject to the review and approval of Public Works), to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles exiting and entering the site. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way.
- C. During the course of construction, all vehicles, including, but not limited to all personal vehicles, shall park within the confines of the private property, the swale directly abutting the construction site, or at alternate overflow parking sites that are not on-street metered spaces and not zoned RS. Additionally, parking of any vehicles shall be prohibited in the travel lanes of all streets.
- D. All allowable construction signage shall be attached to or situated behind the construction fence and shall be limited to one (1) sign, not to exceed four (4) square feet, in accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Land Development Regulations.
- E. The building and parking departments shall approve a construction parking plan prior to the issuance of any building permit, including applicable demolition permits for the project.
- F. The applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
- G. The contractor(s) shall ensure that the street and the swale directly abutting the construction site remains free of debris and refuse at all times; at a minimum, the contractor(s) shall inspect and clear the street and swale areas before leaving at the end of each day.
- H. This order shall be enforced by the Building, Planning, Parking and Code Compliance Departments.
- I. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- J. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

- K. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- L. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- M. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- N. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application for Design Review approval is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "5980 N Bay Road Renovations," as designed by **CFZ Design**, signed, sealed, and dated February 6, 2025; and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Section 2.2.4.6 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to

construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated _____.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
Rogelio A. Madan, AICP
Development & Resiliency Officer
For the Chair

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____ 20__ by Rogelio A. Madan, AICP, Development & Resiliency Officer of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.

{NOTARIAL SEAL]

Notary:
Print Name
Notary Public, State of Florida
My Commission Expires:
Commission Number:

Approved As To Form:

City Attorney's Office: _____ ()

Filed with the Clerk of the

Design Review Board on _____ ()

DRAFT