MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation — Historic Preservation Board

DATE: June 17, 2025

TO: Chairperson and Members
Historic Preservation Board

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB24-0641, **1800 Michigan Avenue**.

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the total demolition of the existing single-family home and the construction of a new single-family home.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Continuance of the application to the September 16, 2025 meeting.

EXISTING STRUCTURE

Local Historic District:	Palm View
Classification:	Contributing
Construction Date:	1935
Architect:	Carlos Schoeppl & Arnold Southwell

ZONING / SITE DATA

Folio:	02-3234-004-0120
Legal Description:	Lot 1, Block 10, of the Palm View Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 29 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.
Zoning:	RS-4, Residential, single-family
Future Land Use Designation:	RS-4, Residential, single-family
Lot Size:	7,951 sq. ft. (30% Max Lot Coverage, 50% Max Unit Size)
Proposed Lot Coverage:	**2,404 sq. ft. / 30.2%** (2,385 sq. ft. max)
Proposed Unit Size:	3,371 sq. ft. / 42.3%
Proposed Height:	27'-0" as measured from base flood elevation + 1'-0" freeboard (9.00' NGVD)

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Private Residence 1800 Michigan Avenue", as prepared by Studio McG Architecture, dated March 9, 2025.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the Land Development Regulations:

1. **Section 7.2.2.3(b)**: The lot coverage as represented in the lot coverage diagram exceeds the maximum permitted.

2. **Section 7.5.1.6**: The site has not been fenced in accordance with the requirements outlined in Section 7.5.1.6 of the Land Development Regulations.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed single-family residential use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 7.1.2.4(a)(1) of the Land Development Regulations establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

A. A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Satisfied

B. Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Not Applicable

C. Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Satisfied

D. Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations.
Satisfied

E. The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
Satisfied

F. The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

Satisfied

G. In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.
Satisfied

H. Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.
Not Applicable

I. When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 in General Ordinances.
Not Applicable

J. In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.
Satisfied

K. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.
Satisfied

L. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a certificate of appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(1) of the Land Development Regulations (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing contributing single-family home.

b. The Secretary of Interior’s Standards for Reconstruction as may be amended from time to time.
Not Applicable

c. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by resolution or ordinance by the city commission.
Satisfied

II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties the historic preservation board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(2) of the Land Development Regulations (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

a. Exterior architectural features.
Satisfied

b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.
Satisfied

c. Texture and material and color.
Satisfied

d. The relationship of subsections a., b., c., above, to other structures and features of the district.
Satisfied

e. The purpose for which the district was created.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing contributing single-family home.

f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.
Satisfied

g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing contributing single-family home.

III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(3) of the Land Development Regulations and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Satisfied

b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Satisfied

c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 2.13.1(c).
Satisfied

d. The proposed structure, or additions to an existing structure are appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhance the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing contributing single-family home.

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing contributing single-family home.

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.
Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a city master plan, where applicable.
Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.
Satisfied

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).
Satisfied

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.
Satisfied

l. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.
Satisfied

m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
Satisfied

n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.
Satisfied

o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
Satisfied

p. In addition to the foregoing criteria, the requirements of chapter 104, of the General Ordinances, shall apply to the historic preservation board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.
Not Applicable

q. The structure and site comply with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.
Partially Satisfied

See Compliance with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria section of this report.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 2.13.7(d)(vi)(4) of the Land Development Regulations provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The building, structure, improvement, or site is designated on either a national or state level, as part of a historic preservation district or as a historic architectural landmark or site, or is designated pursuant to section 2.13.9 as a historic building, historic structure or historic site, historic improvement, historic landscape feature, historic interior or the structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.
Satisfied
The existing structure is designated as Contributing within the Palm View Local Historic District.

b. The building, structure, improvement, or site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty or expense.
Satisfied
The building is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

c. The building, structure, improvement, or site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the county, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.
Satisfied
The existing building is a distinctive example of the Mediterranean Revival/Art Deco Transitional style of architecture which contributes to the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in chapter 1 of these land development regulations or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.
Satisfied
The subject building is classified as a Contributing building in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the building, structure, improvement, landscape feature or site promotes the general welfare of the city by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture, and design, or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.
Not Satisfied
The applicant is not proposing the retention of the building, which is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, or the design review guidelines for that particular district. If the district in which the property is located lists retail uses as an allowable use, then the ground floor shall contain such uses. At-grade parking lots shall not be considered under this regulation. Parking lots or garages as main permitted uses shall not be permitted on lots which have a lot line on Ocean Drive or Espanola Way.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Satisfied

The applicant is presenting plans for a replacement home as part of this application.

h. The county unsafe structures board has ordered the demolition of a structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The existing 2-story single-family home was constructed in 1935 and designed by architects Carlos Schoeppl & Arnold Southwell in the Mediterranean Revival/Art Deco Transitional style of architecture. The main 2-story volume of the home fronts 18th Street and features a recessed entry with a tile and keystone surround that incorporates side niches and shelves. To the east is a 1-story portion of the home which appears to have originally been a covered porch that has since been enclosed. The remainder of the home is 1-story with a 2-car garage located on the western portion of the property. The area between the garage and the 2-story main volume originally featured a covered loggia which opened on to an elevated patio with a low decorative wall.

This home is part of a larger assemblage of property with common ownership, comprised of four platted lots containing three homes, bounded by Michigan Avenue to the east, 18th Street to the south, Lenox Avenue to the west and Collins Canal to the north. Extensive unpermitted work was performed by the previous owners including numerous additions to the homes (located at 1800, 1810 & 1818 Michigan Avenue) and other improvements that resulted in the creation of one building site. On May 7, 2024, as a result of an Emergency Demolition Order issued by the City's Building Official, permits were issued for the total demolition of the homes located at 1810 & 1818 Michigan Avenue and the residences were subsequently demolished. On November 26, 2024, the Planning Board approved a lot split reestablishing the property as four individual building sites (PB24-0715). On December 17, 2024, the Historic Preservation Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for the after-the-fact demolition of the two homes as well as Certificates of Appropriateness for the construction of new replacement homes.



1800 Michigan Avenue, 1953 photograph

Request for total demolition

The existing home located at 1800 Michigan Avenue is relatively intact based upon aerial photographs and historical documentation. In 1968, the building card indicates that the garage was converted into additional living quarters. Additionally, based upon aerial photography, it appears that between 1985 and 1994, two unpermitted 1-story additions were constructed (to the north of the 2-car garage and to the south of the covered loggia).

Aerial photograph, 1959

Aerial photograph, 2020 (unpermitted additions outlined in red)

The applicant has submitted a report prepared by Youssef Hachem Consulting Engineering, entitled "Structural Condition Assessment 1800 Michigan Ave" dated February 14, 2025 outlining the building's current structural condition. The comprehensive report indicates that the current structural systems of the building are deficient. This is not uncommon for buildings constructed in the 1930s and in most cases these deficiencies can be successfully resolved. The following is noted from the recommendations section of the report prepared by the Engineer:

> *"It is evident that portions of the structure were built illegally and without permits when built, they were not built up to standards to support loading conditions. The structure is in moderate to bad condition, leading to deficient structural conditions. The structural members which are mainly wood are deteriorated, moisture damaged and rotting. Most of the structural members cannot be replaced."*

Staff certainly understands that the unpermitted additions must be removed. Additionally, staff would note that there are no active unsafe structures violations, and based upon the structural report, it appears that the home can be repaired. As such, staff recommends that the application be continued to a future meeting date to allow for further investigation of the structural systems to determine if all or portions of the home can be repaired. Further, staff is open to working with the applicant to explore possible partial demolition and reconstruction and/or a new additions.

New 2-story home

The applicant is proposing the construction of a new 2-story single-family home on the subject site. The replacement home has been designed in a contemporary Mediterranean style which incorporates many of the architectural concepts of the existing home that is proposed to be demolished. Further, the general composition of the proposed new home is relatively consistent with the existing home, albeit with a smaller footprint due to the required setbacks. Staff has no major objections to the proposed design, which is compatible with the character of the historic district while clearly distinguished as new construction.

Finally, staff would note that over the course of the last few months, several violations have been issued to the property owner by the City's Code Compliance Department. The violations include failure to secure window openings, failure to comply with the City's fencing requirements for vacant properties and non-compliance with property maintenance standards for the existing wood fence (ZV2025-05979, ZV2025-05763 & PM2025-08034). Staff strongly urges the property owner to cure all violations prior any approval for redevelopment by the Board.

In summary, staff believes that further exploration of retention of the home is warranted and recommends continuance of the application to the September hearing.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends that the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness be **continued** to the September 16, 2025 meeting. In the event the Board finds that the project is consistent with the Certification of Appropriateness criteria, staff has included a draft Order for the Board's consideration.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: June 17, 2025

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 1800 Michigan Avenue / 02-3234-004-0120

FILE NO: HPB24-0641

APPLICANT: IRRS 1800 Michigan LLC

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the total demolition of the existing single-family home and the construction of a new single-family home.

LEGAL: Lot 1, Block 10, of the Palm View Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 29 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

O R D E R

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

A. The subject site is located within the Palm View Local Historic District.

B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:

1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria 'A' in section 7.1.2.4(a)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.

2. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'a' in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.

3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'e' & 'h' in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(2) of the Land Development Regulations.

4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'd' & 'e' in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(3) of the Land Development Regulations.

5. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'e' in section 2.13.7(d)(vi)(4) of the Land Development Regulations.

DRAFT

C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of sections 2.13.7(d) and 7.1.2.4(a) of Land Development Regulations if the following conditions are met:

1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted, and at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

   a. A plaque or historic display describing the history of the previously existing building shall be provided and be placed on the site in a manner visible from the right of way, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

   b. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

   c. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

2. In accordance with Section 7.5.1.6 of the Land Development Regulations, the applicant shall comply with the minimum fencing and landscaping requirements. A building permit for fencing shall be issued within 90 calendar days of this approval and installation shall occur no later than 120 calendar days after this approval.

3. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:

   a. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.

   b. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

   c. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized, if applicable.

In accordance with section 2.2.4.8(c) of the Land Development Regulations the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special magistrate appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

DRAFT

A. No variances have been applied for as part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. *Certificate of Appropriateness'* and 'II. *Variances'* noted above.

A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.

B. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.

C. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.

D. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.

E. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the main building setbacks with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.

F. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.

G. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

H. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.

I. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for

DRAFT

approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

J. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.

K. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

L. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled **"Private Residence 1800 Michigan Avenue", as prepared by Studio McG Architecture, dated March 9, 2025**, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of section 2.13.7 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

DRAFT

In accordance with chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20___.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY:_____
DEBORAH TACKETT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____ 20___ by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture Officer, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me.

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires:_____

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: _____ ( )

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on _____ ( )

DRAFT